

The Effects of Heat Stress on Nutritional and Management Decisions

L.H. Baumgard and R.P. Rhoads
Department of Animal Sciences
The University of Arizona
520-621-1487
baumgard@ag.arizona.edu

Heat stress negatively impacts a variety of dairy parameters including milk yield and reproduction and therefore is a significant financial burden (~\$900 million/year in the USA; St. Pierre et al., 2003) in many dairy-producing areas of the world. Advances in management (i.e. cooling systems; Armstrong, 1994; VanBaale et al., 2005) and nutritional strategies (West, 2003) have alleviated some of the negative impact of thermal stress on dairy cattle, but production continues to decrease during the summer. Accurately identifying heat-stressed cows and understanding the biological mechanism(s) by which thermal stress reduces milk synthesis and reproductive indices is critical for developing novel approaches (i.e. genetic, managerial and nutritional) to maintain production or minimize the reduction in dairy cow productivity during stressful summer months.

Biological Consequence of Heat Stress

The biological mechanism by which heat stress impacts production and reproduction is partly explained by reduced feed intake, but also includes altered endocrine status, reduction in rumination and nutrient absorption, and increased maintenance requirements (Collier and Beede, 1985; Collier et al., 2005) resulting in a net decrease in nutrient/energy availability for production. This decrease in energy results in a reduction in energy balance (EBAL), and partially explains (reduced gut fill also contributes) why cows lose significant amounts of body weight when subjected to heat stress.

Reductions in energy intake during heat stress result in a majority of lactating cows entering into negative energy balance (NEBAL), and this is likely stage of lactation independent. Essentially, because of reduced feed and energy intake the dairy cow is putting herself in a bioenergetic state, similar (but not to the same extent) to the NEBAL observed in early lactation. The NEBAL associated with the early postpartum period is coupled with increased risk of metabolic disorders and health problems (Goff and Horst, 1997; Drackley, 1999), decreased milk yield and reduced reproductive performance (Lucy et al., 1992; Beam and Butler, 1999; Baumgard et al., 2002; 2006). It is likely that many of the negative effects of heat stress on production, animal health and reproduction indices are mediated by the reduction in EBAL (similar to the way it is during the transition period). However, it is not clear how much of the reduction in performance (yield and reproduction) can be attributed or accounted for by the biological parameters effected by heat stress (i.e. reduced feed intake vs. increased maintenance costs).

This article has been adapted from a paper first published by the authors in the Proceedings in the 2007 Southwest Nutrition Conference and 2007 Cornell Nutrition Conference.

Heat Stress and Rumen Health

Heat stress has long been known to adversely affect rumen health. One way cows dissipate heat is via panting and this increased respiration rate results in enhanced CO_2 (carbon dioxide) being exhaled. In order to be an effective blood pH buffering system, the body needs to maintain a 20:1 HCO_3^- (bicarbonate) to CO_2 ratio. Due to the hyperventilation induced decrease in blood CO_2 , the kidney secretes HCO_3^- to maintain this ratio. This reduces the amount of HCO_3^- that can be used (via saliva) to buffer and maintain a healthy rumen pH. In addition, panting cows drool and drooling reduces the quantity of saliva that would have normally been deposited in the rumen. Furthermore, due to reduced feed intake, heat-stressed cows ruminate less and therefore generate less saliva. The reductions in the amount of saliva produced and salivary HCO_3^- content and the decreased amount of saliva entering the rumen make the heat stressed cow much more susceptible to sub-clinical and acute rumen acidosis (see review by Kadzere et al., 2002).

When cows begin to accumulate heat, there is a redistribution of blood to the extremities in an attempt to dissipate internal energy. As a consequence, there is reduced blood flow to the gastrointestinal track and nutrient uptake may be compromised (McGuire et al., 1989). Therefore, fermentation end products (VFAs) probably accumulate and contribute to the reduced pH.

Due to the reduced feed intake caused by heat stress and the heat associated with fermenting forages, nutritionists typically increase the energy density of the ration. This is often accomplished with extra concentrates and reductions in forages. However, this needs to be conducted with care as this type of diet can be associated with a lower rumen pH. The combination of a “hotter” ration and the cows reduced ability to neutralize the rumen (because of the reduced saliva HCO_3^- content and increased drooling) directly increases the risks of rumen acidosis and indirectly enhances the risk of negative side effects of an unhealthy rumen (i.e. laminitis, milk fat depression, etc.).

Metabolic Adaptations to Reduced Feed Intake

A prerequisite of understanding the metabolic adaptations which occur with heat stress, is an appreciation of the physiological and metabolic adaptations to thermal-neutral NEBAL (i.e. underfeeding or during the transition period).

Cows in early lactation are classic examples of when nutrient intake is less than necessary to meet maintenance and milk production costs and animals typically enter negative energy balance (Moore et al., 2005a). Negative energy balance is associated with a variety of metabolic changes that are implemented to support the dominant physiological condition of lactation (Bauman and Currie, 1980). Marked alterations in both carbohydrate and lipid metabolism ensure partitioning of dietary derived and tissue originating nutrients towards the mammary gland, and not surprisingly many of these changes are mediated by endogenous somatotropin which is naturally increased during periods of NEBAL (Bauman and Currie, 1980). One classic response is a reduction in circulating insulin coupled with a reduction in systemic insulin sensitivity. The reduction in insulin action allows for adipose lipolysis and mobilization of non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA; Bauman and Currie, 1980). Increased circulating NEFA are typical in “transitioning” cows and represent (along with NEFA derived ketones) a significant source of energy (and are precursors for milk fat synthesis) for cows in NEBAL. Post-absorptive carbohydrate metabolism is also altered by the reduced insulin action during NEBAL with the net effect of reduced glucose uptake by systemic tissues (i.e. muscle and

adipose). The reduced nutrient uptake coupled with the net release of nutrients (i.e. amino acids and NEFA) by systemic tissues are key homeorhetic (an acclimated response vs. an acute/homeostatic response) mechanisms implemented by cows in NEBAL to support lactation (Bauman and Currie, 1980). The thermal-neutral cow in NEBAL is metabolically flexible, in that she can depend upon alternative fuels (NEFA and ketones) to spare glucose, which can be utilized by the mammary gland to copiously produce milk.

Heat Stress and Production Variables

Heat stress reduces both feed intake and milk yield and the decline in nutrient intake has been identified as a major cause of reduced milk synthesis (Fuquay, 1981). However, the exact contribution of declining feed intake to the overall reduced milk yield remains unknown. To evaluate this question, we utilized a group of thermal neutral pair-fed animals to eliminate the confounding effects of nutrient intake. Lactating Holstein cows in mid-lactation were either cyclically heat stressed (THI = ~80 for 16 hrs/d) for 9 days or remained in constant thermal neutral conditions (THI = ~ 64 for 24 hrs/d) but pair-fed with heat stressed cows to maintain similar nutrient intake. Cows were housed at the University of Arizona's ARC facility and individually fed *ad libitum* a TMR consisting primarily of alfalfa hay and steam flaked corn to meet or exceed nutrient requirements (NRC, 2001). Heat stressed cows had an average rectal temperature of ~105° F during the afternoons of the treatment implementation. Heat stressed cows had an immediate reduction (~5 kg/d) in dry matter intake (DMI) with the decrease reaching nadir at ~ day 4 and remaining stable thereafter (Figure 1). As expected and by design, thermal-neutral pair-fed cows had a feed intake pattern similar to heat stressed cows (Figure 1). Heat stress reduced milk yield by ~14 kg/d with production steadily declining for the first 7 days and then reaching a plateau (Figure 2). Thermal neutral pair-fed cows also had a reduction in milk yield of approximately 6 kg/d, but milk production reached its nadir at day 2 and remained relatively stable thereafter (Figure 2). This indicates the reduction in DMI can only account for ~40-50% of the decrease in production when cows are heat stressed and that ~50-60% can be explained by other heat stressed induced changes.

Metabolic Adaptations to Heat Stress

Estimating EBAL during heat stress introduces problems independent of those that are inherent to normal EBAL estimations (Vicini et al., 2002). Considerable evidence suggest increased maintenance costs are associated with heat stress (7 to 25%; NRC, 2001), however due to complexities involved in predicting upper critical temperatures, no universal equation is available to adjust for this increase in maintenance (Fox and Tylutki, 1998). Maintenance requirements are increased, as there is a large energetic cost of dissipating stored heat. Not incorporating a heat stress correction factor results in overestimating EBAL and thus inaccurately predicting energy status.




Figure 1. Effects of heat stress and pair-feeding thermal neutral lactating Holstein cows on dry matter intake. Rhoads et al., 2007




Figure 2. Effects of heat stress and pair-feeding thermal neutral conditions on milk yield in lactating Holstein cows. Rhoads et al., 2007.

Due to the reductions in feed intake and increased maintenance costs, and despite the decrease in milk yield heat stressed cows enter into a state of NEBAL (Moore et al., 2005b). In a similar trial as to the one described above, heat-stressed cows entered into and remained in NEBAL (~4-5 Mcal/d) for the entire duration of heat stress (Figure 3; Wheelock et al., 2006). However, unlike NEBAL in thermal neutral conditions, heat stressed induced NEBAL doesn't result in elevated plasma NEFA (Figure 4). This was surprising as circulating NEFA are thought to closely reflect calculated EBAL (Bauman et al., 1988). In addition, using an IV glucose tolerance test, we demonstrated that glucose disposal (rate of cellular glucose entry) is greater in heat stressed compared to thermal neutral pair-fed cows (Figure 5; Wheelock et al., 2006). Furthermore, heat-stressed cows have a much greater insulin response to a glucose challenge when compared to underfed cows (data not presented). Both the aforementioned changes in plasma NEFA and metabolic/hormonal adjustments in response to a glucose challenge can be explained by increased insulin effectiveness. Insulin is a potent antilipolytic signal (blocks fat break down) and the primary driver of cellular glucose entry. The apparent increased insulin action causes the heat-stressed cow to be metabolically inflexible, in that she does not have the option to oxidize fatty acids and ketones. As a consequence, the heat-stressed cow becomes increasingly dependant on glucose for her energetic needs and therefore less glucose is directed towards the mammary gland.

As stated earlier, the NRC (2001) arbitrarily indicates that mild to severe heat stress will increase maintenance requirements by 7 to 25% but indicates, "insufficient data are currently available to quantify these effects accurately". A typical lactating dairy cow will have a maintenance requirement of 9.7 Mcal/d (or 0.08 Mcal/kg BW^{0.75}; NRC, 2001). In our experiment, ~8 kg of milk/d could not be explained by the reduction in feed intake (Figure 1 and 2) and this has an energetic value of approximately 6.1 Mcal/d (or 63% of a thermal neutral animals daily maintenance requirements). If all of the difference in milk synthesis (~8 kg/d) could be explained by the increase in maintenance requirements then heat-stressed cows would have an increase in maintenance requirements of 63%. However, we are currently unable to identify how much of the 8 kg of milk can be explained by enhanced maintenance needs, but if 25, 50 and 75% of the 6.1 Mcal/d was in fact utilized for increased maintenance, it would represent a 16, 31 and 47% increase in maintenance requirements, respectively. Deciphering how much of the milk yield differential can be explained by increased maintenance costs vs. other altered biological systems (i.e. reduced nutrient absorption, altered endocrine status etc.) is of primary interest.

Well-fed ruminants primarily oxidize (burn) acetate (a rumen produced VFA) as their principal energy source. However, during NEBAL cows also largely depend on NEFA for energy. Therefore, it appears the post-absorptive metabolism of the heat-stressed cow markedly differs from that a thermal-neutral cow, even though they are in a similar negative energetic state. The apparent switch in metabolism and the increase in insulin sensitivity is probably a mechanism by which cows decrease metabolic heat production, as oxidizing glucose is more efficient (Baldwin et al., 1980). In vivo glucose oxidation yields 38 ATP (assuming the ΔG of ATP hydrolysis is -12.3 kcal/mole under cellular conditions; Berg et al., 2007) or 472.3 kcal of energy (compared to 637.1 kcal in a bomb calorimeter) and in vivo fatty acid oxidation (i.e. stearic acid) generates 146 ATP or 1814 kcal of energy (compared to 2697 kcal in a bomb calorimeter). Despite having a much greater energy content, due to differences in the efficiencies of capturing ATP, oxidizing




Figure 3. Effects of heat stress and pair-feeding thermal neutral conditions on calculated net energy balance in lactating Holstein cows. Adapted from Wheelock et al., 2006.

fatty acids generates more metabolic heat (~2 kcal/g or 13% on an energetic basis) compared to glucose. Therefore, during heat stress, preventing or blocking adipose mobilization/breakdown and increasing glucose “burning” is presumably a strategy to minimize metabolic heat production.

The mammary gland requires glucose to synthesize milk lactose and lactose production is the primary osmoregulator and thus determinant of milk yield. However, in an attempt to generate less metabolic heat, the body (primarily skeletal muscle) appears to utilize glucose at an increased rate. As a consequence, the mammary gland may not receive adequate amounts of glucose and thus mammary lactose production and subsequent milk yield is reduced. This may be the primary mechanism which accounts for the additional reductions in milk yield that cannot be explained by decreased feed intake (Figures 1 and 2).

In addition to heat stressed cows requiring special attention with regards to heat abatement and other dietary considerations (i.e. concentrate: forage ratio, HCO_3^- etc.) they also have an extra requirement for dietary or rumen-derived glucose precursors. Of the three main rumen-produced volatile fatty acids, propionate is the one primarily converted into glucose by the liver. Highly fermentable starches such as grains increase rumen propionate production, and although propionate is the primary glucose precursor, feeding additional grains can be risky as heat stressed cows are already susceptible to rumen acidosis.




Figure 4. Effects of heat stress and pair-feeding thermal neutral conditions on circulating non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) in lactating Holstein cows. Adapted from Wheelock et al., 2006.




Figure 5. Effects of heat stress and pair-feeding thermal neutral conditions on plasma glucose response to a glucose challenge. Adapted from Wheelock et al., 2006.

Practical Considerations

Water: Clearly, water intake is vital for milk production (milk is ~90% water) but it is also essential for thermal homeostasis. In contrast to common perception, heat-stressed cows remain well-hydrated (via large increases in water consumption; McDowell et al., 1969; Schneider et al., 1988, O'Brien and Baumgard, unpublished data) if they water is plentiful and clean. This illustrates how important water availability and waterer/tank cleanliness becomes during the summer months. Keeping water tanks clear of feed debris and algae is a simple and cheap strategy to help cows remain cool.

Rumen Health: As explained earlier, the heat-stressed cow is prone to rumen acidosis and many of the lasting effects of warm weather (laminitis, low milk fats etc.) can probably be traced back to a low rumen pH during the summer months. As a consequence, care should be taken when feeding “hot” rations during the summer months. In addition, obviously fiber quality is important all the time, but it is paramount during the summer as it has some buffering capacity and stimulates saliva production. Furthermore, although there is some academic controversy as to its effectiveness, dietary HCO_3^- may be a valuable tool to maintain a healthy rumen pH. Feeding supplemental direct fed microbials (DFMs) and/or yeast may also be strategy to help maintain a healthy rumen during heat stress. Some of these products help maintain rumen pH and stimulate feed intake and both would benefit the heat-stressed cow.

Dietary Fat: Despite the fact that it appears heat-stressed cows do not want to oxidize body reserves for energy, feeding dietary fat (rumen inert/rumen bypass) probably remains an effective strategy of providing extra (and safe with regards to rumen health) energy during a time of negative energy balance. Compared to starch and fiber, fat has a much lower heat increment in the rumen (Van Soest, 1982) and thus it can provide energy without a negative thermal side effect.

Glucose: Based upon some of our recent data, maximizing rumen production of glucose precursors (i.e. propionate) would be an effective strategy to maintain production. However, due to the rumen health issue, increasing grains should be conducted with care. A safe and effective method of maximizing rumen propionate production is with monensin (approved for lactating dairy cattle in 2004). In addition, monensin may assist in stabilizing rumen pH during stress situations (Schelling, 1984). Propylene glycol is typically fed in early lactation but may also be an effective method of increasing propionate production during heat stress. With the increasing demand for biofuels and subsequent supply of glycerol, it will be of interest to evaluate glycerols efficacy and safety in ruminant diets during the summer months.

Protein: The protein requirement of the heat-stressed lactating cow is an area that needs additional research. Protein is typically one of the most expensive dietary components and deaminating (removing excess nitrogen) protein generates a lot of metabolic heat, and these are two important reasons why not to overfeed protein to a heat-stressed cow.

DCAD: Having a negative DCAD during the dry period and a positive DCAD during lactation is a good strategy to maintain health and maximize production (Block, 1994). It appears that keeping the DCAD at a healthy lactating level (~+20 to +30 meq/100 g DM) remains a good strategy during the warm summer months (Wildman et al., 2007).

Minerals and Vitamins: Unlike humans, bovines utilize potassium (K^+) as their primary osmotic regulator of water secretion from their sweat glands. As a consequence, K^+ requirements are

increased (1.4 to 1.6% of DM) during the summer and this should be adjusted for in the diet (West, 2002). In addition, dietary levels of sodium (Na^+) and magnesium (Mg^+) should be increased as they compete with K^+ for intestinal absorption (West, 2002). Niacin is a well-known subcutaneous vasodilator in a variety of species (humans taking supplemental niacin often experience “flushing” in the face). We have preliminary evidence demonstrating that supplementing rumen protected niacin decreases body temperature indices in lactating cows experiencing moderate heat stress (Burgos-Zimbelman et al., 2008) and this is presumably via an increased ability to dissipate heat.

Feeding Times, Locking Up, Holding Pens and Dry Cows: Cows are often lethargic during the hottest parts of the day, therefore changing the feeding schedule to coincide with the cooler parts of the day (i.e. early morning and late in the evening) may be a good strategy. In addition, although pushing up often and removing old feed is always a good policy, they are even more important during the warm periods of the year. Locking up cows during peak heat (especially if the lock up does not have shade) should be avoided and if it can not, then minimizing the time cows are locked up is obviously a good idea. The holding pen is a consistent place on almost every dairy where cows are crowded and where the humidity is high. Both criteria diminish the ability to dissipate body heat and body temperature is often highest in this pen. Minimizing the time spent in the holding pen and investing in cooling infrastructure may pay dividends. The dry cow consumes less feed and produces less metabolic heat and thus some have incorrectly assumed they are less susceptible to heat stress. However, dry cows ARE sensitive to heat stress and without proper cooling (at least shade) they will 1) deliver calves early, 2) have reduced milk yield in the subsequent lactation and 3) have reduced reproductive parameters in the next lactation (even if they are well-cooled following parturition; Armstrong, 1994).

rbST: Somatotropin treated cows produce more milk and consequently consume more feed to sustain the increase in production. Both parameters cause cows to generate more metabolic heat but this extra heat is counterbalanced by increased heat dissipation and therefore body temperature indices are not elevated (Collier et al., 2005). Some have suggested that heat-stressed cows do not respond, or do not respond as well (with regards to milk yield) to supplemental bST during the summer months, but we have recently demonstrated that rbST increases milk yield (~15%) even in severely heat-stressed (rectal temperatures $> 104.0^\circ\text{F}$) dairy cows (Wheelock et al., 2006). The mechanisms by which rbST increases milk yield is similar between thermal neutral and heat-stressed cows and the biological changes induced by heat stress do not prevent rbST from remaining effective.

Summary

Clearly the heat-stressed cow implements a variety of post-absorptive changes in both carbohydrate and lipid metabolism (i.e. increased insulin action) that wouldn't be predicted based upon their energetic state. The primary end result of this altered metabolic condition is that the heat-stressed lactating dairy cow has an extra need for glucose (due to its preferential oxidization by extra-mammary tissue). Therefore, any dietary component that increases propionate production (the primary precursor to hepatic glucose production), without reducing rumen pH, will probably increase milk yield. In addition, reducing systemic insulin sensitivity will increase glucose availability to the mammary and thus also probably increase milk yield.

References

- Armstrong, D.V. 1994. Heat stress interaction with shade and cooling. *J. Dairy Sci.* 77:2044-2050.
- Baldwin, R.L., N.E. Smith, J. Taylor, and M. Sharp. 1980. Manipulating metabolic parameters to improve growth rate and milk secretion. *J. Anim. Sci.* 51:1416-1428.
- Bauman, D.E. and W.B. Currie. 1980. Partitioning of nutrients during pregnancy and lactation: a review of mechanisms involving homeostasis and homeorhesis. *J. Dairy Sci.* 63:1514-1529.
- Bauman, D.E., C.J. Peel, W.D. Steinhour, P.J. Reynolds, H.F. Tyrrell, C. Brown, and G.L. Harland. 1988. Effect of bovine somatotropin on metabolism of lactating dairy cows: influence on rates of irreversible loss and oxidation of glucose and nonesterified fatty acids. *J. Nutr.* 118:1031-1040.
- Baumgard, L.H., C.E. Moore, and D.E. Bauman. 2002. Potential application of conjugated linoleic acids in nutrient partitioning. *Proc. Southwest Nutr. Conf.* pp 127-141.
- Baumgard, L.H., L.J. Odens, J.K. Kay, R.P. Rhoads, M.J. VanBaale and R.J. Collier. 2006. Does negative energy balance (NEBAL) limit milk synthesis in early lactation? *Proc. Southwest Nutr. Conf.* 181-187.
- Beam, S.W., and W.R. Butler. 1999. Effects of energy balance on follicular development and first ovulation in postpartum dairy cows. *J. Reprod. Fertility* 54:411-424.
- Berg, J.M., J.L. Tymoczko and L. Stryer. Biochemistry. 6th Edition. W.H. Freeman.
- Block, E. 1994. Manipulation of dietary cation-anion difference on nutritionally related production diseases, productivity, and metabolic responses of dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 77:1437-1450.
- Burgos-Zimbelman, R., J. Collier, M.B. Abdallah, L.H. Baumgard, T.R. Bilby and R.J. Collier. 2008. Improving resistance to thermal stress in dairy cows with protected niacin. *Proc. Southwest Nutr. Conf.* 114-126.
- Collier, R.J., L.H. Baumgard, A.L. Lock and D.E. Bauman. 2005. Physiological Limitations: nutrient partitioning. Chapter 16. In: *Yields of farmed Species: constraints and opportunities in the 21st Century*. Proceedings: 61st Easter School. Nottingham, England. J. Wiseman and R. Bradley, eds. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, U.K. 351-377.
- Collier, R.J., and D.K. Beede. 1985. Thermal stress as a factor associated with nutrient requirements and interrelationships. In *Nutrition of Grazing Ruminants*. (ed) by L. McDowell. Academic Press, New York, NY. pp 59-71.
- Drackley, J.K. 1999. Biology of dairy cows during the transition period: the final frontier? *J. Dairy Sci.* 82:2259-2273.
- Fox, D.G. and T.P. Tylutki. 1998. Accounting for the effects of environment on the nutrient requirements of dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 81:3085-3089.

- Fuquay, J.W. 1981. Heat stress as it affects production. *J. Anim. Sci.* 52:167-174.
- Goff, J.P. and R.L. Horst. 1997. Physiological changes at parturition and their relationship to metabolic disorders. *J. Dairy Sci.* 80:1260-1268.
- Kadzere, C.T., MR. Murphy, N. Silanikove and E. Maltz. 2002. Heat stress in lactating dairy cows: a review. *Livestock Prod. Sci.* 77:59-91.
- Lucy, M.C., C.R. Staples, W.W. Thatcher, P.S. Erickson, R.M. Cleale, J.L. Firkins, J.H. Clark, M.R. Murphy and B.O. Brodie. 1992. Influence of diet composition, dry matter intake, milk production and energy balance on time of postpartum ovulation and fertility in dairy cows. *Anim. Prod.* 54:323-331.
- McDowell, R.E., E.G. Moody, P.J. Van Soest, R.P. Lehmann and G.L. Ford. 1969. Effect of heat stress on energy and water utilization of lactating cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 52: 188-194.
- Moore, C.E., J.K. Kay, M.J. VanBaale and L.H. Baumgard. 2005a. Calculating and improving energy balance during times of nutrient limitation. *Proc. Southwest Nutr. Conf.* 173-185.
- Moore, C.E., J.K. Kay, M.J. VanBaale, R.J. Collier and L.H. Baumgard. 2005b. Effect of conjugated linoleic acid on heat stressed Brown Swiss and Holstein cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 88:1732-1740.
- National Research Council. 2001. *Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle*, 7th rev. ed. Nat. Acad. Press, Washington, DC.
- Rhoads, M.L, R.P. Rhoads, S.R. Sanders, S.H. Carroll, W.J. Weber, B.A. Crooker, R.J. Collier, M.J. VanBaale and L.H. Baumgard. 2007. Effects of heat stress on production, lipid metabolism and somatotropin variables in lactating cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 90. Suppl. (1):230 (abst.).
- Schelling, G.T. 1984. Monensin mode of action in the rumen. *J. Anim. Sci.* 58:1518-1527.
- Schneider, P.L., D.K. Beede, and C.J. Wilcox. 1988. Nycterohemeral patterns of acid-base status, mineral concentrations and digestive function of lactating cows in natural or chamber heat stress environments. *J. Anim. Sci.* 66:112-125.
- St. Pierre, N.R., B. Cobanov, and G. Schnitkey. 2003. Economic losses from heat stress by US livestock industries. *J. Dairy Sci.* 86:E52-E77.
- VanBaale, M.J., J.F. Smith, M.J. Brouk, and L.H. Baumgard. 2005. Evaluate the efficacy of your cooling system through core body temperature. *Hoard's Dairyman: Western Dairy News*. Aug 5:W147-W148.
- Van Soest, P.J. 1982. *Nutritional ecology of the ruminant*. O & B Books, Inc.
- Vicini, J.L., B.A. Crooker and M.A. McGuire. 2002. Energy balance in early lactation dairy cows. *CA. Anim. Nutr. Conf.* 1-8.

West, J.W. 2002. Physiological effects of heat stress on production and reproduction. Proc. Tri-State Nutr. Conf. 1-9.

West, J.W. 2003. Effects of heat-stress on production in dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 86:2131-2144.

Wheelock, J.B., S.R. Sanders, G. Shwartz, L.L. Hernandez, S.H. Baker, J.W. McFadden, L.J. Odens, R. Burgos, S.R. Hartman, R.M. Johnson, B.E. Jones, R.J. Collier, R.P. Rhoads, M.J. VanBaale and L.H. Baumgard. 2006. Effects of heat stress and rbST on production parameters and glucose homeostasis. J. Dairy Sci. 89. Suppl. (1):290-291 (abst.).

Wildman, C.D., J.W. West, and J.K. Bernard. 2007. Effect of dietary cation-anion difference and dietary crude protein on performance of lactating dairy cows during hot weather. J. Dairy Sci. 90:1842-1850.